# Scan Report

# August 1, 2024

#### Summary

This document reports on the results of an automatic security scan. All dates are displayed using the timezone "Coordinated Universal Time", which is abbreviated "UTC". The task was "Immediate scan of IP app.offision.com". The scan started at Thu Aug 1 03:49:17 2024 UTC and ended at Thu Aug 1 04:43:59 2024 UTC. The report first summarises the results found. Then, for each host, the report describes every issue found. Please consider the advice given in each description, in order to rectify the issue.

# Contents

1	Res	erview	2								
2	Results per Host										
	2.1	20.43.6	67.39	2							
		2.1.1	Low general/tcp	2							
		2.1.2	Log 443/tcp	3							
		2.1.3	Log general/CPE-T	21							
		2.1.4	Log general/tcp	22							

# 1 Result Overview

Host	High	Medium	Low	$\operatorname{Log}$	False Positive
20.43.67.39	0	0	1	26	0
app.offision.com					
Total: 1	0	0	1	26	0

Vendor security updates are not trusted.

Overrides are off. Even when a result has an override, this report uses the actual threat of the result.

Information on overrides is included in the report.

Notes are included in the report.

This report might not show details of all issues that were found.

Issues with the threat level "Debug" are not shown.

Only results with a minimum QoD of 70 are shown.

This report contains all 27 results selected by the filtering described above. Before filtering there were 30 results.

# 2 Results per Host

### $2.1 \quad 20.43.67.39$

Host scan start Thu Aug 1 03:50:07 2024 UTC Host scan end Thu Aug 1 04:43:56 2024 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
m general/tcp	Low
$443/\mathrm{tcp}$	Log
general/CPE-T	Log
general/tcp	Log

# 2.1.1 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

 ${
m NVT:\ TCP\ Timestamps\ Information\ Disclosure}$ 

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

Vulnerability Detection Result

... continued from previous page ...

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.

The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:

Packet 1: 1229211950 Packet 2: 1229213320

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution:

### Solution type: Mitigation

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl-p' to apply the settings at runtime.

To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.

See the references for more information.

### Affected Software/OS

TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.

Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091

Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z

# References

url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323

url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323

url: https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/d

 $\hookrightarrow$ ownload/details.aspx?id=9152

url: https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090

[ return to 20.43.67.39 ]

#### 2.1.2 Log 443/tcp

# Log (CVSS: 0.0) NVT: Services

#### Summary

This plugin performs service detection.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

A web server is running on this port through SSL

#### Solution:

### Vulnerability Insight

This plugin attempts to guess which service is running on the remote port(s). For instance, it searches for a web server which could listen on another port than 80 or 443 and makes this information available for other check routines.

#### Log Method

Details: Services

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10330 Version used: 2023-06-14T05:05:19Z

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Version Detection

#### **Summary**

Enumeration and reporting of SSL/TLS protocol versions supported by a remote service.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

# Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote  ${\rm SSL/TLS}$  service supports the following  ${\rm SSL/TLS}$  protocol version(s):

TLSv1.2

TLSv1.3

### Solution:

#### Log Method

Sends multiple connection requests to the remote service and attempts to determine the SSL/TLS protocol versions supported by the service from the replies.

Note: The supported SSL/TLS protocol versions included in the report of this VT are reported independently from the allowed / supported SSL/TLS ciphers.

Details: SSL/TLS: Version Detection

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105782

Version used: 2024-07-24T05:06:37Z

Version asca. Zozi or Ziroc.co.c

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

# NVT: SSL/TLS: Collect and Report Certificate Details

### Summary

This script collects and reports the details of all  $\mathrm{SSL}/\mathrm{TLS}$  certificates.

This data will be used by other tests to verify server certificates.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 98%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

The following certificate details of the remote service were collected.

Certificate details:

fingerprint (SHA-1) | C47EF8BF9DF21719DED4DC15408C07F69CBDCAF3

fingerprint (SHA-256) | 7777C4BEFAA2806813E846D40E834CCED83F2593886AAC

 $\hookrightarrow$ 05E64E11199D341A81

issued by | CN=Microsoft Azure RSA TLS Issuing CA 04,0=Mic

serial | 330051E1512B7DDC1FA0A67AA200000051E151

signature algorithm | sha384WithRSAEncryption

subject | CN=\*.azurewebsites.net,O=Microsoft Corporation

 $\hookrightarrow$ , L=Redmond, ST=WA, C=US

subject alternative names (SAN) | \*.sso.japaneast-01.azurewebsites.net, \*.japane  $\hookrightarrow$ ast.c.azurewebsites.net, \*.scm.japaneast.c.azurewebsites.net, \*.sso.japaneast.  $\hookrightarrow$ c.azurewebsites.net, \*.azure-mobile.net, \*.scm.azure-mobile.net, \*.azurewebsites.net, \*.scm.azurewebsites.net, \*.japaneast-01.azure  $\hookrightarrow$ cs.net, \*.japaneast-01.azure

→websites.net, \*.scm.japaneast-01.azurewebsites.net
valid from | 2024-05-24 15:17:41 UTC

 valid from
 | 2024-05-24 15:17:41 UTC

 valid until
 | 2025-05-19 15:17:41 UTC

#### Solution:

### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: Collect and Report Certificate Details

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103692 Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

### NVT: HTTP Server Banner Enumeration

### Summary

... continued from previous page ...

This script tries to detect / enumerate different HTTP server banner (e.g. from a frontend, backend or proxy server) by sending various different HTTP requests (valid and invalid ones).

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was possible to enumerate the following HTTP server banner(s):

Server banner | Enumeration technique

-----

Server: Kestrel | Valid HTTP 1.1 GET request (with extended headers) to '/'

#### Solution:

#### Log Method

Details: HTTP Server Banner Enumeration

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108708 Version used: 2022-06-28T10:11:01Z

#### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

### NVT: Response Time / No 404 Error Code Check

# Summary

This VT tests if the remote web server does not reply with a 404 error code and checks if it is replying to the scanners requests in a reasonable amount of time.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

The service is responding with a 200 HTTP status code to non-existent files/urls  $\hookrightarrow$ . The following pattern is used to work around possible false detections:

404

404

----

#### Solution:

#### Vulnerability Insight

This web server might show the following issues:

- it is [mis]configured in that it does not return '404 Not Found' error codes when a non-existent file is requested, perhaps returning a site map, search page, authentication page or redirect instead.

The Scanner might enabled some counter measures for that, however they might be insufficient. If a great number of security issues are reported for this port, they might not all be accurate.

- it doesn't response in a reasonable amount of time to various HTTP requests sent by this VT.

In order to keep the scan total time to a reasonable amount, the remote web server might not be tested. If the remote server should be tested it has to be fixed to have it reply to the scanners requests in a reasonable amount of time.

Alternatively the 'Maximum response time (in seconds)' preference could be raised to a higher value if longer scan times are accepted.

#### Log Method

 $\operatorname{Details}$ : Response Time / No 404 Error Code Check

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{OID:} 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10386 \\ & \text{Version used: } 2023\text{-}07\text{-}07\text{T}05\text{:}05\text{:}26\text{Z} \end{aligned}$ 

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

### NVT: HTTP Security Headers Detection

### Summary

All known security headers are being checked on the remote web server.

On completion a report will hand back whether a specific security header has been implemented (including its value and if it is deprecated) or is missing on the target.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

```
Vulnerability Detection Result
Header Name
                          Header Value
______
Content-Security-Policy | frame-ancestors https
Strict-Transport-Security | max-age=2592000
                   SAMEORIGIN
X-Frame-Options
Missing Headers
                                   | More Information
⇔-----
                                   | https://scotthelme.co.uk/coop-and-coep/, Not
Cross-Origin-Embedder-Policy
\hookrightarrowe: This is an upcoming header
Cross-Origin-Opener-Policy
                                   | https://scotthelme.co.uk/coop-and-coep/, Not
\hookrightarrowe: This is an upcoming header
Cross-Origin-Resource-Policy
                                   | https://scotthelme.co.uk/coop-and-coep/, Not
\hookrightarrowe: This is an upcoming header
Document-Policy
                                   | https://w3c.github.io/webappsec-feature-poli
\hookrightarrowcy/document-policy#document-policy-http-header
Expect-CT
                                   https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers
\hookrightarrow/#expect-ct, Note: This is an upcoming header
                                   | https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers
Feature-Policy
\hookrightarrow /#feature-policy, Note: The Feature Policy header has been renamed to Permissi
\hookrightarrowons Policy
Permissions-Policy
                                   | https://w3c.github.io/webappsec-feature-poli
\hookrightarrowcy/#permissions-policy-http-header-field
... continues on next page ...
```

```
... continued from previous page ...
Public-Key-Pins
                                    | Please check the output of the VTs including
\hookrightarrow 'SSL/TLS:' and 'HPKP' in their name for more information and configuration he
\hookrightarrowlp. Note: Most major browsers have dropped / deprecated support for this heade
\hookrightarrowr in 2020.
Referrer-Policy
                                    | https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers
Sec-Fetch-Dest
                                    | https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web
← HTTP/Headers#fetch_metadata_request_headers, Note: This is a new header suppo
⇔rted only in newer browsers like e.g. Firefox 90
Sec-Fetch-Mode
                                    https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web
← HTTP/Headers#fetch_metadata_request_headers, Note: This is a new header suppo
⇔rted only in newer browsers like e.g. Firefox 90
Sec-Fetch-Site
                                    | https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web
\hookrightarrow/HTTP/Headers#fetch_metadata_request_headers, Note: This is a new header suppo
⇔rted only in newer browsers like e.g. Firefox 90
                                    | https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web
Sec-Fetch-User
\hookrightarrow/HTTP/Headers#fetch_metadata_request_headers, Note: This is a new header suppo
\hookrightarrowrted only in newer browsers like e.g. Firefox 90
X-Content-Type-Options
                                    | https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers
\hookrightarrow /#x-content-type-options
X-Permitted-Cross-Domain-Policies | https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers
\hookrightarrow/#x-permitted-cross-domain-policies
X-XSS-Protection
                                    https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers

→/#x-xss-protection, Note: Most major browsers have dropped / deprecated suppor

\hookrightarrowt for this header in 2020.
```

# Solution:

### Log Method

 $\operatorname{Details:}$  HTTP Security Headers Detection

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{OID:} 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.112081 \\ & \text{Version used: } \textbf{2021-07-14T06:} \textbf{19:} \textbf{43Z} \end{aligned}$ 

#### References

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/#div-headers

url: https://securityheaders.com/

# Log (CVSS: 0.0)

# NVT: SSL/TLS: Report Non Weak Cipher Suites

#### Product detection result

cpe:/a:ietf:transport\_layer\_security

Detected by SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.  $\hookrightarrow$ 802067)

... continued from previous page ...

#### Summary

This routine reports all Non Weak SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 98%

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

'Non Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA384

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA256

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

'Non Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.3 protocol:

TLS\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256

TLS\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

### Solution:

### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: Report Non Weak Cipher Suites

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103441 Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z

# **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:ietf:transport\_layer\_security Method: SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites

OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.802067)

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Certificate - Subject Common Name Does Not Match Server FQDN

# Product detection result

cpe:/a:ietf:transport\_layer\_security

Detected by SSL/TLS: Collect and Report Certificate Details (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25

 $\hookrightarrow$ 623.1.0.103692)

#### Summary

The SSL/TLS certificate contains a common name (CN) that does not match the hostname.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 98%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

The certificate of the remote service contains a common name (CN) that does not  $\hookrightarrow$  match the hostname "app.offision.com".

Certificate details:

fingerprint (SHA-1) | C47EF8BF9DF21719DED4DC15408C07F69CBDCAF3

fingerprint (SHA-256) | 7777C4BEFAA2806813E846D40E834CCED83F2593886AAC

 $\hookrightarrow$ 05E64E11199D341A81

issued by | CN=Microsoft Azure RSA TLS Issuing CA 04,0=Mic

 $\hookrightarrow$ rosoft Corporation,C=US

serial | 330051E1512B7DDC1FA0A67AA200000051E151

signature algorithm | sha384WithRSAEncryption

subject | CN=\*.azurewebsites.net,O=Microsoft Corporation

 $\hookrightarrow$ , L=Redmond, ST=WA, C=US

subject alternative names (SAN) | \*.sso.japaneast-01.azurewebsites.net, \*.japane  $\hookrightarrow$ ast.c.azurewebsites.net, \*.scm.japaneast.c.azurewebsites.net, \*.sso.japaneast.  $\hookrightarrow$ c.azurewebsites.net, \*.azure-mobile.net, \*.scm.azure-mobile.net, \*.azurewebsites.net, \*.azurewebsites.net, \*.japaneast-01.azure  $\hookrightarrow$ websites.net, \*.scm.japaneast-01.azurewebsites.net

valid from | 2024-05-24 15:17:41 UTC valid until | 2025-05-19 15:17:41 UTC

### Solution:

### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: Certificate - Subject Common Name Does Not Match Server FQDN

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103141 Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z

### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:ietf:transport\_layer\_security

 $\operatorname{Method}$ : SSL/TLS: Collect and Report Certificate Details

OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103692)

# Log (CVSS: 0.0)

NVT: SSL/TLS: HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) Detection

### Summary

Checks if the remote web server has HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) enabled.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote web server is sending the "HTTP Strict-Transport-Security" header. HSTS-Header:

Strict-Transport-Security: max-age=2592000

#### Solution:

#### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) Detection

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105876 Version used: 2024-02-08T05:05:59Z

#### References

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-cheat-sheets/cheatsheets/HTTP\_Strict\_Transpor

 $\hookrightarrow$ t\_Security\_Cheat\_Sheet.html

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/#http-strict-transport-securit

 $\hookrightarrow$ y-hsts

url: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6797

url: https://securityheaders.io/

# Log (CVSS: 0.0)

# NVT: SSL/TLS: Check for 'max-age' Attribute in HSTS Header

#### Summary

The remote web server is using a too low value within the 'max-age' attribute in the HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) header.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote web server is using a value of "2592000" within the "max-age" attribu  $\hookrightarrow$ te in the HSTS header. This value is below the configured / minimal recommende  $\hookrightarrow$ d value of "10886400".

HSTS Header:

Strict-Transport-Security: max-age=2592000

### Solution:

### Solution type: Workaround

The minimum value to get added to the HSTS preload lists of Google Chrome is 18 weeks (10886400 seconds). The value should aim towards 6 months (15768000 seconds) but heavily depends on your deployment scenario.

#### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: Check for 'max-age' Attribute in HSTS Header

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108251Version used: 2024-02-08T05:05:59Z

#### References

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-cheat-sheets/cheatsheets/HTTP\_Strict\_Transpor

⇔t\_Security\_Cheat\_Sheet.html

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/#http-strict-transport-securit

 $\hookrightarrow$ y-hsts

url: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6797

url: https://securityheaders.io/

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

### NVT: SSL/TLS: HTTP Public Key Pinning (HPKP) Missing

### Summary

The remote web server is not enforcing HTTP Public Key Pinning (HPKP).

Note: Most major browsers have dropped / deprecated support for this header in 2020.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote web server is not enforcing HPKP.

HTTP-Banner: HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Content-Length: \*\*\*replaced\*\*\*

Connection: close

Content-Type: text/html
Date: \*\*\*replaced\*\*\*
Server: Kestrel
Accept-Ranges: bytes
Cache-Control: max-age=0
ETag: "\*\*\*replaced\*\*\*"

Last-Modified: \*\*\*replaced\*\*\*

Strict-Transport-Security: max-age=2592000

X-Frame-Options: SAMEORIGIN

 $\label{lem:content-Security-Policy: frame-ancestors https://teams.microsoft.com https://off $$\hookrightarrow$ ice.com https://*.office.com https://*.office365.com https://*.office365.com https://ice.com https://ice.co$ 

⇔ps://microsoft365.com https://\*.microsoft365.com

### Solution:

Solution type: Workaround

Enable HPKP or add / configure the required directives correctly following the guides linked in the references.

Note: Some web servers are not sending headers on specific status codes by default. Please review your web server or application configuration to always send these headers on every response independently from the status code.

- Apache: Use 'Header always set' instead of 'Header set'.
- nginx: Append the 'always' keyword to each 'add header' directive.

For different applications or web severs please refer to the related documentation for a similar configuration possibility.

#### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: HTTP Public Key Pinning (HPKP) Missing

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108247 Version used: 2024-02-08T05:05:59Z

#### References

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/#public-key-pinning-extension-

∽for-http-hpkp

url: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7469

url: https://securityheaders.io/

url: https://httpd.apache.org/docs/current/mod/mod\_headers.html#header

url: https://nginx.org/en/docs/http/ngx\_http\_headers\_module.html#add\_header

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

# NVT: SSL/TLS: Report Perfect Forward Secrecy (PFS) Cipher Suites

#### Product detection result

cpe:/a:ietf:transport\_layer\_security

Detected by SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.

→802067)

#### Summary

This routine reports all SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service which are supporting Perfect Forward Secrecy (PFS).

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 98%

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Cipher suites supporting Perfect Forward Secrecy (PFS) are accepted by this serv  $\hookrightarrow$  ice via the TLSv1.2 protocol:

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA384

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

Cipher suites supporting Perfect Forward Secrecy (PFS) are accepted by this serv  $\hookrightarrow$ ice via the TLSv1.3 protocol:

TLS\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256

TLS\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

#### Solution:

### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: Report Perfect Forward Secrecy (PFS) Cipher Suites

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105018 Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:ietf:transport\_layer\_security Method: SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites

OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.802067)

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

### NVT: SSL/TLS: Report Medium Cipher Suites

### Product detection result

cpe:/a:ietf:transport\_layer\_security

Detected by SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.

**→802067**)

### Summary

This routine reports all Medium SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service.

#### Quality of Detection (QoD): 98%

# Vulnerability Detection Result

'Medium' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA384

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA256

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

'Medium' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.3 protocol:  ${\tt TLS\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256}$ 

#### Solution:

### Vulnerability Insight

Any cipher suite considered to be secure for only the next 10 years is considered as medium.

#### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: Report Medium Cipher Suites

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.902816Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z

### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:ietf:transport\_layer\_security Method: SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites

OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.802067)

#### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites

# Summary

This routine reports all SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 98%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

No 'Strong' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol.

'Medium' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA384

TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA256

TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

No 'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol.

No 'Null' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol.

No 'Anonymous' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol.

'Strong' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.3 protocol:

TLS\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

'Medium' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.3 protocol:  ${\tt TLS\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256}$ 

No 'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.3 protocol.

No 'Null' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.3 protocol.

No 'Anonymous' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.3 protocol.

#### Solution:

### Vulnerability Insight

Notes:

- As the VT 'SSL/TLS: Check Supported Cipher Suites' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.900234) might run into a timeout the actual reporting of all accepted cipher suites takes place in this VT instead
- SSLv2 ciphers are not getting reported as the protocol itself is deprecated, needs to be considered as weak and is reported separately as deprecated.

#### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.802067 Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z

#### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Safe/Secure Renegotiation Support Status

### Summary

Checks and reports if a remote  $\operatorname{SSL}/\operatorname{TLS}$  service supports safe/secure renegotiation.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 98%

# Vulnerability Detection Result

Protocol Version | Safe/Secure Renegotiation Support Status

------

÷-----

SSLv3 | Unknown, Reason: Scanner failed to negotiate an SSL/TLS conne  $\hookrightarrow$ ction (Either the scanner or the remote host is probably not supporting / acce  $\hookrightarrow$ pting this SSL/TLS protocol version).

TLSv1.0 | Unknown, Reason: Scanner failed to negotiate an SSL/TLS conne  $\hookrightarrow$ ction (Either the scanner or the remote host is probably not supporting / acce  $\hookrightarrow$ pting this SSL/TLS protocol version).

TLSv1.1 | Unknown, Reason: Scanner failed to negotiate an SSL/TLS conne  $\hookrightarrow$ ction (Either the scanner or the remote host is probably not supporting / acce  $\hookrightarrow$ pting this SSL/TLS protocol version).

TLSv1.2 | Enabled, Note: While the remote service announces the support  $\hookrightarrow$  of safe/secure renegotiation it still might not support / accept renegotiation

 $\dots$  continues on next page  $\dots$ 

... continued from previous page ...

 $\hookrightarrow$ n at all.

TLSv1.3 | Disabled (The TLSv1.3 protocol generally doesn't support rene  $\hookrightarrow$ gotiation so this is always reported as 'Disabled')

### Solution:

### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: Safe/Secure Renegotiation Support Status

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117757 Version used: 2024-07-24T05:06:37Z

#### References

url: https://www.gnutls.org/manual/html\_node/Safe-renegotiation.html

url: https://wiki.openssl.org/index.php/TLS1.3#Renegotiation

url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc5746

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

#### NVT: SSL/TLS: 'includeSubDomains' Missing in HSTS Header

# Summary

The remote web server is missing the 'includeSubDomains' attribute in the HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) header.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote web server is missing the "includeSubDomains" attribute in the HSTS h  $\hookrightarrow$ eader.

HSTS Header:

Strict-Transport-Security: max-age=2592000

#### Solution:

Solution type: Workaround

Add the 'includeSubDomains' attribute to the HSTS header.

# Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: 'includeSubDomains' Missing in HSTS Header

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{OID:} 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105877 \\ & \text{Version used: } 2024\text{-}02\text{-}08T05\text{:}05\text{:}59Z \end{aligned}$ 

### References

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/

 $\verb|url: https://owasp.org/www-project-cheat-sheets/cheatsheets/HTTP\_Strict\_Transpor|\\$ 

 $\hookrightarrow \texttt{t\_Security\_Cheat\_Sheet.html}$ 

 $\verb|url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/\#http-strict-transport-securit| \\$ 

 $\hookrightarrow$ y-hsts

url: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6797

url: https://securityheaders.io/

# Log (CVSS: 0.0)

### NVT: SSL/TLS: 'preload' Missing in HSTS Header

#### Summary

The remote web server is missing the 'preload' attribute in the HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) header.

# Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote web server is missing the "preload" attribute in the HSTS header.

HSTS Header:

Strict-Transport-Security: max-age=2592000

#### Solution:

### Solution type: Workaround

Submit the domain to the 'HSTS preload list' and add the 'preload' attribute to the HSTS header.

### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: 'preload' Missing in HSTS Header

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105878 Version used: 2024-02-08T05:05:59Z

### References

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/

 $\hookrightarrow \texttt{t\_Security\_Cheat\_Sheet.html}$ 

url: https://owasp.org/www-project-secure-headers/#http-strict-transport-securit

url: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6797

url: https://hstspreload.appspot.com/

url: https://securityheaders.io/

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

# NVT: HTTP Server type and version

#### Summary

This script detects and reports the HTTP Server's banner which might provide the type and version of it.

# Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote HTTP Server banner is:

Server: Kestrel

#### Solution:

#### Log Method

Details: HTTP Server type and version

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10107 Version used: 2023-08-01T13:29:10Z

#### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

# NVT: SSL/TLS: NPN / ALPN Extension and Protocol Support Detection

#### Summary

This routine identifies services supporting the following extensions to TLS:

- Application-Layer Protocol Negotiation (ALPN)
- Next Protocol Negotiation (NPN).

Based on the availability of this extensions the supported Network Protocols by this service are gathered and reported.

# Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote service advertises support for the following Network Protocol(s) via  $\hookrightarrow$  the ALPN extension:

SSL/TLS Protocol:Network Protocol

TLSv1.2:HTTP/1.1

#### Solution:

#### Log Method

Details: SSL/TLS: NPN / ALPN Extension and Protocol Support Detection

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108099 Version used: 2023-04-18T10:19:20Z

#### References

url: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7301

url: https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-agl-tls-nextprotoneg-04

# Log (CVSS: 0.0)

### NVT: Web Application Scanning Consolidation / Info Reporting

#### Summary

The script consolidates and reports various information for web application (formerly called 'CGI') scanning.

This information is based on the following scripts / settings:

- HTTP-Version Detection (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.100034)
- No 404 check (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10386)
- Web mirroring / webmirror.nasl (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10662)
- Directory Scanner / DDI Directory Scanner.nasl (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.11032)
- The configured 'cgi\_path' within the 'Scanner Preferences' of the scan config in use
- The configured 'Enable CGI scanning', 'Enable generic web application scanning' and 'Add historic /scripts and /cgi-bin to directories for CGI scanning' within the 'Global variable settings' of the scan config in use

If you think any of this information is wrong please report it to the referenced community forum.

# Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

The Hostname/IP "app.offision.com" was used to access the remote host.

Generic web application scanning is disabled for this host via the "Enable gener  $\hookrightarrow$  ic web application scanning" option within the "Global variable settings" of t  $\hookrightarrow$ he scan config in use.

The service is responding with a 200 HTTP status code to non-existent files/urls  $\hookrightarrow$ . The following pattern is used to work around possible false detections:

----

404

Requests to this service are done via HTTP/1.1.

This service seems to be able to host PHP scripts.

This service seems to be able to host ASP scripts.

The User-Agent "Mozilla/5.0 [en] (X11, U; OpenVAS-VT 23.0.1)" was used to access  $\hookrightarrow$  the remote host.

Historic /scripts and /cgi-bin are not added to the directories used for web app  $\hookrightarrow$ lication scanning. You can enable this again with the "Add historic /scripts a  $\hookrightarrow$ nd /cgi-bin to directories for CGI scanning" option within the "Global variabl  $\hookrightarrow$ e settings" of the scan config in use.

The following directories were used for web application scanning:

https://app.offision.com/

While this is not, in and of itself, a bug, you should manually inspect these di  $\hookrightarrow$ rectories to ensure that they are in compliance with company security standard  $\hookrightarrow$ s

The following directories were excluded from web application scanning because th  $\hookrightarrow$  "Regex pattern to exclude directories from CGI scanning" setting of the VT "  $\hookrightarrow$  Global variable settings" (0ID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.12288) for this scan was  $\hookrightarrow$ : "/(index\.php|image|img|css|js\$|js/|javascript|style|theme|icon|jquery|graph  $\hookrightarrow$ ic|grafik|picture|bilder|thumbnail|media/|skins?/)"

21

... continued from previous page ...

https://app.offision.com/assets/images

 $\label{lem:https:/app.offision.com/assets/images/device-icon/Assets.xcassets/AppIcon.appic $\hookrightarrow$ onset/$_{-}$$ 

https://app.offision.com/assets/images/splash\_screens

### Solution:

#### Log Method

 $\operatorname{Details}$ : Web Application Scanning Consolidation / Info Reporting

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.111038Version used: 2024-07-03T06:48:05Z

#### References

url: https://forum.greenbone.net/c/vulnerability-tests/7

# Log (CVSS: 0.0) NVT: Services

### Summary

This plugin performs service detection.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

A TLScustom server answered on this port

# Solution:

### Vulnerability Insight

This plugin attempts to guess which service is running on the remote port(s). For instance, it searches for a web server which could listen on another port than 80 or 443 and makes this information available for other check routines.

#### Log Method

Details: Services

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10330 Version used: 2023-06-14T05:05:19Z

 $[\ {\rm return\ to\ 20.43.67.39}\ ]$ 

# 2.1.3 Log general/CPE-T

22

# Log (CVSS: 0.0) NVT: CPE Inventory

#### Summary

This routine uses information collected by other routines about CPE identities of operating systems, services and applications detected during the scan.

Note: Some CPEs for specific products might show up twice or more in the output. Background: After a product got renamed or a specific vendor was acquired by another one it might happen that a product gets a new CPE within the NVD CPE Dictionary but older entries are kept with the older CPE.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

20.43.67.39 | cpe:/a:ietf:transport\_layer\_security:1.2 20.43.67.39 | cpe:/a:ietf:transport\_layer\_security:1.3

#### Solution:

#### Log Method

Details: CPE Inventory

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.810002 Version used: 2022-07-27T10:11:28Z

#### References

url: https://nvd.nist.gov/products/cpe

[ return to 20.43.67.39 ]

# 2.1.4 Log general/tcp

#### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

NVT: OS Detection Consolidation and Reporting

#### Summary

This script consolidates the OS information detected by several VTs and tries to find the best matching OS.

Furthermore it reports all previously collected information leading to this best matching OS. It also reports possible additional information which might help to improve the OS detection. If any of this information is wrong or could be improved please consider to report these to the

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

referenced community forum.

# Vulnerability Detection Result

No Best matching OS identified. Please see the VT 'Unknown OS and Service Banner ... continues on next page ...

 $\hookrightarrow$  Reporting' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108441) for possible ways to identify  $\hookrightarrow$ this OS.

#### Solution:

#### Log Method

Details: OS Detection Consolidation and Reporting

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105937 Version used: 2024-07-30T05:05:46Z

#### References

url: https://forum.greenbone.net/c/vulnerability-tests/7

#### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

### NVT: Unknown OS and Service Banner Reporting

#### Summary

This VT consolidates and reports the information collected by the following VTs:

- Collect banner of unknown services (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.11154)
- Service Detection (unknown) with nmap (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.66286)
- Service Detection (wrapped) with nmap (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108525)
- OS Detection Consolidation and Reporting (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105937)

If you know any of the information reported here, please send the full output to the referenced community forum.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

Unknown banners have been collected which might help to identify the OS running  $\hookrightarrow$  on this host. If these banners containing information about the host OS please  $\hookrightarrow$  report the following information to https://forum.greenbone.net/c/vulnerabili  $\hookrightarrow$ ty-tests/7:

Banner: Server: Kestrel

Identified from: HTTP Server banner on port 443/tcp

### Solution:

# Log Method

Details: Unknown OS and Service Banner Reporting

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108441 Version used: 2023-06-22T10:34:15Z

#### References

url: https://forum.greenbone.net/c/vulnerability-tests/7

24

# Log (CVSS: 0.0) NVT: Traceroute

#### Summary

Collect information about the network route and network distance between the scanner host and the target host.

### Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

Network route from scanner (172.20.0.7) to target (20.43.67.39): 172.20.0.7

20.43.67.39

Network distance between scanner and target: 2

#### Solution:

### Vulnerability Insight

For internal networks, the distances are usually small, often less than 4 hosts between scanner and target. For public targets the distance is greater and might be 10 hosts or more.

### Log Method

A combination of the protocols ICMP and TCP is used to determine the route. This method is applicable for IPv4 only and it is also known as 'traceroute'.

Details: Traceroute

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{OID:} 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.51662 \\ & \text{Version used: } 2022\text{-}10\text{-}17T11\text{:}13\text{:}19Z \end{aligned}$ 

### Log (CVSS: 0.0)

### NVT: Hostname Determination Reporting

### Summary

The script reports information on how the hostname of the target was determined.

# Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

### Vulnerability Detection Result

Hostname determination for IP 20.43.67.39:

Hostname | Source

app.offision.com|Forward-DNS

#### Solution:

### Log Method

Details: Hostname Determination Reporting

25

	$\dots$ continued from previous page $\dots$
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108449	
Version used: 2022-07-27T10:11:28Z	

[ return to 20.43.67.39 ]

This file was automatically generated.